

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,643	02/04/2004		Dale A. Wahlstrom	P-20013.00	3719
27581	7590	08/01/2006		EXAMINER	
MEDTRO	•		BOCKELMAN, MARK		
710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3766 DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E					
	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		0/771,643	WAHLSTROM ET AL.					
		xaminer	Art Unit					
		ark W. Bockelman	3766					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximer Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three may be armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	HE MAILING DATE risions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) a communication. hum statutory period will appropriately will, by statute, causenths after the mailing date.	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be tire only and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 May 2006.							
2a) This action is FINAL .	, <u> </u>							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-57</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>37-42</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11-36, 43-57</u> is/ard 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected t	•							
8) Claim(s) are subject to re		ection requirement.						
		1						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to t								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/771,643

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of species VI in the reply filed on 5-19-2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search for the non elected species would not be an undue burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because the broad recitation of a "medical device" has caused the current examiner to extrend the search through out 6 entire classes of medical inventions. The broad recitation requires considerable extra time in searching for one embodiment, much more so for additional embodiments

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 37-42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 5-19-2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

⁽e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an

Application/Control Number: 10/771,643

Art Unit: 3766

international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-9, 11, 24-29, 36, 43, 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parodi USPN 5,911,733.Parodi show a fram stent member with a sheath of fish scale like members connected the exterior whixh are substantially parallel to the stent member. It is apparent that bending the stent will cause the projections to protrude outward from the stent. Applicant's product by process claims are given no patentable weight in that they impart no distinguishing structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,643

Art Unit: 3766

Claims 12-25, 30-35, 44 -50, 52-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parodi USPN 5,911,733.

Applicant differs in properties associated with the Parodi scale members such as making the scales of bioabsorbable material, coating them, reciting specific size limitations and well as material compositions, providing bent portions and providing an outer covering sheath. The examiner takes official notice that coating stent members to prevent thrombosis, making them of silicone and ptfe, making them bioabsorbable/dissolvable, making the scales to applicant's size and to provide a bend in the stent to conform to the vascular walls was well known in the art and obvious modifications thereto.

Claims 1-5, 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reydel USPN 6,767,339. Reydal teaches fish scale member in applicant's size range and would obviously protrude more from the tube upon bending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11-36, 43-57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner notes than numerous references have been cited on the attached PTO -892 which fish scale like projections on various medical device. The examiner requests applicant to consider these references as well when formulating his response.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone

Art Unit: 3766

number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMMER

MWB

July 23, 2006